, '	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/524,970	IRIMURA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
<u> </u>	Sue Liu	1639
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Allowable</u>	
(1) <u>Sue Liu</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Gregory Butler</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 24 September 2007	Time: <u>3:30pm</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:	☐ Applicant's representative) No	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:	·	•
Claims discussed:  1, 5 and 17  Prior art documents discussed:  Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING TH The Examiner requested an examiner amendment to a agreed to the amendment as suggested by the examiner	amend Claim 1 of the instant applica	tion. Applicant's representative has
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a significant directly resulted in the allowance of the applicant of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a significant did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief</li> </ul>	ation. The examiner will provide separate record of the substance	a written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
		•
(Examiner/SPE Signature)	(Applicant/Applicant's Represent	ative Signature – if appropriate)